Strom Thurmond Career Center 17 Par Drive Johnston, South Carolina 29832 Grades 9-12 Career Center **Enrollment** 659 Students Director Dr. John Carroll Wates 803-275-1767 **Board Chair** Sallie Cooks 803-663-6539 Superintendent Dr. Sharon W. Keesley 803-275-4601 The State of South Carolina **Annual School** 2005 Report Card **ABSOLUTE RATING** EXCELLENT **Absolute Ratings of Career Centers** Excellent Below Average Unsatisfactory Good Average 24 10 3 IMPROVEMENT RATING GOOD ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS YES Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the state rating for career and technology centers must be Excellent, Good, Above Average, Average or Below Average. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

> www.myscschools.com www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

| | Absolute Rating | Improvement Rating | Adequate Yearly Progress |
|------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 2002 | Excellent | Good | N/A |
| 2003 | Excellent | Excellent | Yes |
| 2004 | Excellent | Good | Yes |
| 2005 | Excellent | Good | Yes |

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

| PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-----------------|-----------------------------|------|-------------|-----------------------------|------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| | | stering ompeten | | Rece | iving Dip | olomas | P | lace in F | ield |
| | Thi | s Center | State Center Average% | This | Center % | State Center Average% | This | Center % | State Center Average% |
| All Students | | 70 | Average // | | /0 | Average // | - '' | 70 | Average 70 |
| | 581 | 86.1% | 81.1% | 83 | 80.7% | 92.4% | 84 | 96.4% | 97.6% |
| Students with disabilities on diploma | track | | | | | | | | |
| · | 0 | N/A | 73.2% | 0 | N/A | 75.6% | 0 | N/A | 98.5% |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 310 | 84.5% | 77.7% | 47 | 76.6% | 90.9% | 52 | 94.6% | 98.6% |
| Female | 271 | 87.8% | 85.2% | 36 | 86.1% | 93.3% | 29 | 100.0% | 96.5% |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | | | | | | | | | |
| White | 222 | 93.2% | 89.0% | 31 | 96.8% | 95.4% | 40 | 100.0% | 98.5% |
| African American | 348 | 81.3% | 73.6% | 51 | 70.6% | 86.8% | 40 | 93.0% | 96.1% |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 0 | N/A | 89.1% | 0 | N/A | 85.1% | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV |
| Hispanic | 7 | 100.0% | 81.7% | 1 | I/S | 91.3% | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV |
| American Indian/Alaskan | 0 | N/A | 76.3% | 0 | N/A | 81.3% | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV |
| Migrant Status | | | | | | | | | |
| Migrant | | | | | | | | | |
| Non-migrant | | | | | | | | | |
| English Proficiency | | | | | | | | | |
| Limited English Proficient | 1 | I/S | 74.6% | 0 | N/A | 86.1% | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV |
| Non-Limited English Proficient | 580 | 86.0% | 81.2% | 83 | 80.7% | 92.4% | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV |
| Socio-Economic Status | | | | | | | | | |
| Subsidized meals | 362 | 82.6% | 75.1% | 53 | 71.7% | 87.8% | 4 | I/S | 96.5% |
| Full-pay meals | 219 | 91.8% | 85.9% | 30 | 96.7% | 94.6% | 77 | 96.3% | 98.0% |
| n = number of students on which percentage is calcu | lated | | | | | | | | |

DEFINITIONS OF PERFORMANCE RATING TERMS

- Mastering Core Competencies-The percentage of students enrolled in career and technology courses at the center who earn a 2.0 or above on the final course grade.
- •Graduation Rate-The percentage of 12th grade career and technology students who graduate in the spring.
- Placement Rate-The percentage of career and technology completers available for placement over a 3-year period who are actually placed in postsecondary instruction, military services, or employment.

| SCHOOL PROFILE | Our School | Change from Last Year | Median Career Center |
|---|---------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Students (n= 659) | | | |
| With disabilities other than speech | 0.0% | No change | 1.8% |
| Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations | 36.4% | Up from 33.2% | 18.6% |
| Enrollment in career/technology center courses | 659 | Down from 723 | 643 |
| Students participating in worked-based experiences | 0.0% | Down from 69.3% | 32.2% |
| Teachers (n= 16) | _ | _ | |
| Teachers with advanced degrees | 37.5% | Up from 31.3% | 25.6% |
| Continuing contract teachers | 87.5% | Down from 93.8% | 73.5% |
| Highly qualified teachers | 50.0% | N/A | 66.7% |
| Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates | 0.0% | No change | 15.1% |
| Teachers returning from previous year | 94.0% | Down from 96.1% | 88.9% |
| Teacher attendance rate | 96.2% | Down from 97.0% | 95.6% |
| Average teacher salary | \$40,367 | Up 3.2% | \$43,493 |
| Prof. development days/teacher | 13.1 days | Up from 10.3 days | 13.6 days |
| School | | | |
| Director's years at Center | 22.0 | Up from 19.0 | 3.0 |
| Dollars spent per pupil* | \$1,786 | Up 1.2% | \$3,353 |
| Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* | 62.8% | Down from 64.2% | 52.3% |
| Parents attending conferences | 99.0% | No change | 83.8% |
| SACS accreditation * Prior year audited financial data are reported. | Yes | No change | Yes |

| | Our District | State |
|---|--------------|-------|
| Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools | 93.3% | 89.4% |
| Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools | 91.7% | 90.1% |

REPORT OF DIRECTOR AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

During the 2004-05 school year, Strom Thurmond Career Center's faculty and staff provided career and technology training to approximately seventy-five percent of the high school students in the Edgefield School District. Students were able to choose from a wide variety of courses including agriculture, horticulture, turf and lawn management, automotive mechanics, carpentry, welding, drafting, home economics, business, marketing, and health science. Many students were able to enroll in several of these courses due to the flexibility of the A/B block scheduling.

Career and technology students received leadership training through their participation in clubs and organizations. Many of these students competed in district, state, and national competitive events related to their chosen career and technology training. We had students who won first place in state competitive events in FFA, FBLA, and HOSA competition. These students are to be commended for their excellent performance.

We were able to secure state and federal funding to purchase additional equipment for carpentry, automotive mechanics, horticulture, turf and lawn management, welding, and business. Representatives of local business and industry support our programs as they serve on school improvement committees and volunteer to assist us in direct instruction of our students. We are very fortunate to have a very positive working relationship with local business and industry.

All high school students in the Edgefield School District are given an opportunity to explore a variety of career options with full access to all career and technology programs offered by the Strom Thurmond Career Center. Students are also allowed to enroll in courses offered by the local technical institutions through dual credit programs. We feel that our students are fully prepared to enter the workforce or continue their education when they complete our program.

Carroll Wates. Director

| EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS | | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|----------|--|
| | Teachers | Students* | Parents* | |
| Number of surveys returned | 12 | 59 | 24 | |
| Percent satisfied with learning environment | 90.0% | 98.3% | 73.9% | |
| Percent satisfied with social and physical environment | 83.3% | 93.0% | 69.6% | |
| Percent satisfied with school-home relations *Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included | 83.3% | 94.7% | 63.6% | |